

Preprint CWRU-MATH

October 1993

hep-th/9310125

Hidden algebra of the N -body Calogero problem

Alexander Turbinder^{†,*}

Math. Dept., Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106

ABSTRACT

A certain generalization of the algebra $gl(N, \mathbf{R})$ of first-order differential operators acting on a space of inhomogeneous polynomials in $\mathbf{R}^{N-1}$ is constructed. The generators of this (non)Lie algebra depend on permutation operators. It is shown that the Hamiltonian of the N -body Calogero model can be represented as a second-order polynomial in the generators of this algebra. Given representation implies that the Calogero Hamiltonian possesses infinitely-many finite-dimensional invariant subspaces with explicit bases, which are closely related to the finite-dimensional representations of above algebra. This representation is an alternative to the standard representation of the Bargmann-Fock type in terms of creation and annihilation operators.

[†]On leave of absence from the Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow 117259, Russia

E-mail: turbiner@cernvm or turbiner@vxcern.cern.ch

^{*}Supported in part by a CAST grant of the US National Academy of Sciences.

The Calogero model [1] is a quantum mechanical system of N particles on a line interacting via a pairwise potential and defined by the Hamiltonian

$$H_{Cal} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N [-d_i^2 + x_i^2] + \sum_{j<i}^N \frac{g}{(x_i - x_j)^2} \quad , \quad (1)$$

where $d_i \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$, m is the harmonic oscillator frequency, $g = \nu(\nu - 1)$ is the coupling constant. As it was found by F. Calogero, this model is completely integrable and possesses some very remarkable properties. First, in order to be normalizable, all eigenfunctions must (up to a certain function as a common factor) be either totally symmetric or totally antisymmetric. Secondly, it turned out that the energy spectrum is that of N bosons or fermions interacting via harmonic forces only, but with a total energy shift proportional to ν (for a review see [2]). Thus the problem (1) is not only completely integrable, but also exactly-solvable: the spectrum can be found explicitly, in a closed analytic form.

Recently, an extension of the Heisenberg algebra was suggested by Polychronakos [3]. A remarkable property of this algebra is that the ‘structure constants’ depend on the permutation operators (generators of a certain symmetric group). Using this algebra, the Bargmann-Fock representation for the Calogero model was constructed [3, 4, 5]: the Hamiltonian was rewritten as bilinear combination in generators, which take the sense of creation and annihilation operators. It allowed to construct in very simple way the commuting integrals of motion for the Calogero model [3] and give an operator solution of this model deriving an explicit expression for all eigenfunctions for any number of bodies [4, 5].

The aim of this Note is twofold. First, we construct an analog of the irreducible finite-dimensional representations of $gl(N, \mathbf{R})$ acting on inhomogeneous polynomials, connected with the above mentioned extended Heisenberg algebra. In fact, we arrive at some non-Lie algebras of the first-order differential operators possessing irreducible finite-dimensional representations. Their ‘structure constants’ depend on permutation operators. We denote those algebras by $gl(N, \mathbf{R})_K$. Secondly, we show that the transformed N -body Calogero Hamiltonian can be rewritten as a quadratic polynomial in generators of $gl(N, \mathbf{R})_K$ and then we look for a hidden algebraic structure of the Calogero model.

Recently, it has been shown [7], that all known exactly-solvable quantum mechanical problems (like the harmonic oscillator, the Coulomb problem etc.) have two equivalent representations of the Hamiltonian: (i) well-known Bargmann-Fock representation as a bilinear combination in creation and annihilation operators and (ii) a representation as a second-degree polynomial of the generators of algebra $sl(2, \mathbf{R})$ of the first-order differential operators on the line, possessing a finite-dimensional representation in finite-degree polynomials. We show that the N -body Calogero Hamiltonian also has the second representation: as a second-degree polynomial of generators of above-mentioned algebra $gl(N, \mathbf{R})_K$.

Let us consider an extended Heisenberg algebra [3, 4, 5]¹

$$[D_i, x_j] = A_{ij} = \delta_{ij}(1 + \nu \sum_{l=1}^N K_{il}) - \nu K_{ij}, \quad (2)$$

$$[x_i, x_j] = 0, \quad (3)$$

$$[D_i, D_j] = 0, \quad (4)$$

where K_{ij} are generating elements of the symmetric group,

$$K_{ij}x_j = x_iK_{ij} \quad (5)$$

$$K_{ij}D_j = D_iK_{ij}, \quad (6)$$

and

$$K_{ij} = K_{ji}, \quad (K_{ji})^2 = I \quad (7)$$

$$K_{ij}K_{jl} = K_{jl}K_{li} = K_{li}K_{ij} \quad (8)$$

here all indexes run from 1 to N , all quantities K_{ij} , x_l , and D_k are mutually commuting, when all the indexes like i, j, l , and k are pairwise noncoinciding. The parameter ν in the structure constants A_{ij} is any number, but later it will be associated with the Calogero coupling constant, see (1). In the limit $\nu = 0$ the algebra of D 's, x 's becomes a standard N -dimensional Heisenberg algebra.

¹In fact, this algebra already appeared in the paper by E. Wigner [6]. This paper was devoted to the problem what is the most general form of the momentum-coordinate commutator leaving covariant the Heisenberg equations of motion. Implicitly, E. Wigner also introduced the representation for the operator D (see below, eq.(9)). I am grateful to Y. Nambu and P. Freund who paid my attention to the paper [6]

A representation of the ‘covariant derivative’ D_i is unambiguously fixed by the requirement that D_i induce no new poles when acting on regular functions of x_i and is given by ²

$$D_i = d_i + \nu \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{(x_i - x_j)} (1 - K_{ij}). \quad (9)$$

Here the operators K_{ij} are not used explicitly but rather their representation on the functions of x_i . This representation (9) of D_i has a crucially important property for our further consideration: the operators D_i leave the vector space of polynomials invariant.

Now let us proceed to construct of representations of some algebras using the extended Heisenberg algebra (2)-(4). It is rather easy to build up an analog of the vector field representation of $gl(N)$:

$$J_{ij} = x_i D_j, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N \quad (10)$$

It is easy to see that for generic ν this algebra possesses an irreducible finite-dimensional representation given by homogeneous polynomials in $\mathbf{R}^N$ like for the non-deformed algebra $gl(N, \mathbf{R})$. We denote this algebra by $gl(N, \mathbf{R})_K$. The algebra (10) is not closed. The commutator of any two generators from (10) is expressed as a linear combination in (10). However, generically, those linear combinations contain the permutation operators K ’s as coefficients and the commutators of the r.h.s. and (10) do not belong to the original algebra (10).

We also need a representation of $gl(N, \mathbf{R})_K$ in terms of the first-order differential operators acting on functions in $\mathbf{R}^{N-1}$. In order to derive such a representation, we have to perform a procedure of so called ‘projectivization’: instead of using homogeneous functions in $x \in \mathbf{R}^N$ as representation space, one should consider inhomogeneous functions in $y \in \mathbf{R}^{N-1}$. Unlike the standard way of introducing the y -coordinates: $y_i = \frac{x_i}{x_N}$ (see e.g. [9]), we have to use more sophisticated trick, keeping in mind that we handle to the N -body problem.

² The expression for D_i was described by several authors [8, 3, 4, 5] (see also footnote 1). In mathematical literature, sometimes, this is named “Dunkl operator“. Various forms of D_i have minor differences and we follow to an expression given by Brink et al [4]

First of all, we define Jacobi coordinates as follows

$$u = \sum_{p=1}^N x_p ,$$

$$y_i = x_i - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N x_j , \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, (N-1) \quad (11)$$

where u is a the center-of-mass coordinate. It is evident that, under such a change of variables, homogeneous functions in x remain as homogeneous in (y, u) . Derivatives in the new coordinates are related with derivatives in the old coordinates as follows

$$d_u \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial u} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{p=1}^N d_p ,$$

$$d_{y_i} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} = d_i - d_N , \quad (12)$$

Now let us make a crucial step: perform the procedure of the ‘projectivization’ (see above) in (u, y) –coordinates through introducing new coordinates

$$Y_i = \frac{y_i}{u} , \quad (13)$$

and considering the action of generators (10) not on homogeneous functions in a form $f_x(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$ or

$$f_y(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{N-1}, u) = u^n f_y\left(\frac{y_1}{u}, \frac{y_2}{u}, \dots, \frac{y_{N-1}}{u}, 1\right) \equiv u^n \phi(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_{N-1}) ,$$

but on inhomogeneous functions $\phi(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_{N-1})$. Here n is a degree of homogeneity. Without loss of generality, one can set $u = 1$. After a tedious but straightforward calculation, we arrive at the generators of $gl(N, \mathbf{R})_K$ algebra in the ‘projectivized’ representation. They can be presented in the following form $(\partial_i \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial Y_i})$ ³

$$J_i^- = \partial_i + \nu \sum_{j \neq i, N} \frac{1}{x_{ij}} (1 - K_{ij}) + \frac{2\nu}{x_{iN}} (1 - K_{iN}) +$$

$$+ \nu \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{x_{jN}} (1 - K_{jN}) , \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, (N-1) , \quad (14)$$

³For the sake of simplicity, hereafter we use ‘ y ’ instead of capital letter ‘ Y ’ for the coordinates

$$J_{ij}^0 = y_i J_j^- , \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots (N-1) , \quad (15)$$

$$J^0 = n - \sum_{p=1}^{N-1} y_p \partial_p , \quad (16)$$

$$J_i^+ = y_i J^0 , \quad i = 1, 2, \dots (N-1) . \quad (17)$$

where

$$x_{ij} = y_i - y_j , \quad x_{iN} = y_i + \sum_{p=1}^{N-1} y_p .$$

Let us emphasize that generators (16)-(17) do coincide to those for $\nu = 0$ remaining the same as to $gl(N, \mathbf{R})$. In the new coordinates (11) the permutation operators act as follows

$$\begin{aligned} K_{ij} y_j &= y_i K_{ij} , \quad j \neq N , \\ K_{iN} y_i &= (-\sum_{p=1}^{N-1} y_p) K_{iN} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} K_{ij} \partial_j &= \partial_i K_{ij} \\ K_{iN} \partial_i &= -\partial_i K_{iN} \\ K_{iN} \partial_j &= (\partial_j - \partial_i) K_{iN} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

The generators (14)-(17) obey the commutation relations:

$$[J_i^\pm, J_j^\pm] = 0 , \quad (20)$$

$$[J_i^\pm, J^0] = \pm J_i^\pm , \quad (21)$$

$$[J_{ij}^0, J^0] = 0 , \quad (22)$$

$$[J_i^+, J_j^-] = J_{ij}^0 - [(\delta_{ij}(1 + \nu \sum_{p=1}^N K_{ip}) - \nu(K_{ij} - K_{iN}))] J^0 , \quad (23)$$

$$[J_{ik}^0, J_j^-] = -[(\delta_{ij}(1 + \nu \sum_{p=1}^N K_{ip}) - \nu(K_{ij} - K_{iN}))J_k^-] , \quad (24)$$

$$[J_{ij}^0, J_k^+] = C_{ij,k}^p(K)J_p^+ , \quad (25)$$

$$[J_{ij}^0, J_{lm}^0] = C_{ij,lm}^{pq}(K)J_{pq}^0 . \quad (26)$$

where $C_{ij,k}^p(K)$ and $C_{ij,lm}^{pq}(K)$ are rather cumbersome expressions linear in permutation operators, which become in the limit ν goes to zero the standard structure constants of the algebra $gl(N, \mathbf{R})$. They will be presented elsewhere. We say that (20)-(26) defines the algebra $gl(N, \mathbf{R})_K$. In the limit $\nu \rightarrow 0$ the representation (14)-(17) becomes a degenerate representation of $gl(N, \mathbf{R})$ (see e.g. [9]), while (20)-(26) coincide with the commutation relations of $gl(N, \mathbf{R})$.

The representation (14)-(17) has an outstanding property: for generic ν , when n is a non-negative integer number, there appears a finite-dimensional irreducible representation $\mathcal{P}_n$ realized on inhomogeneous polynomials in y_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, (N-1)$ of degree not higher than n . The dimension of this representation is equal to

$$\dim \mathcal{P}_n = \frac{(n+1)^{N-1}}{2^{N-2}} , \quad N \geq 2 \quad (27)$$

So the representation space is the same for any value of parameter ν .

Now let us proceed to the second part of our program – a search of the connections between the algebra of generators (14)-(17) and the Calogero model. Firstly, let us make a rotation of the Hamiltonian (1) [4]

$$\begin{aligned} H_\nu &\equiv \beta^{-\nu} H_{Cal} \beta^\nu = \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^N \left[d_i^2 + \nu \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{2}{x_i - x_j} d_i - \nu \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{(x_i - x_j)^2} (1 - K_{ij}) \right] + \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2 = \\ &= -D^2 + X^2 , \quad \beta = \prod_{i>j} (x_i - x_j) , \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where $X^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2$, and $g = \nu(\nu \mp 1)$, where the upper and lower sign refers to the totally symmetric and antisymmetric eigenfunctions, respectively. It is worth emphasizing that in (28) there appear the permutation operators while they do not appear in the original Hamiltonian. Their occurrence reflects the existence of totally symmetric and totally antisymmetric eigenfunctions only as solutions of the eigenvalue problem $H_{Cal}\Psi = E\Psi$ [1].

In fact, the expression (28) for H_ν can immediately be rewritten in the form [3, 4, 5]

$$H_\nu = \sum_i \{a_i^+, a_i^-\}, \quad (29)$$

where $a_i^\mp = (x_i \pm D_i)$ has the meaning of creation/annihilation operators. This form is nothing but the Bargmann-Fock representation. It is well known that in this formalism the general expression for all the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues can be presented in closed analytic form.

We now go further and make one more rotation of the operator H_ν

$$\begin{aligned} h &\equiv e^{\frac{X^2}{2}} H_\nu e^{-\frac{X^2}{2}} \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^N \left[d_i^2 - 2x_i d_i + \nu \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{2}{x_i - x_j} d_i - \nu \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{(x_i - x_j)^2} (1 - K_{ij}) \right] + \\ &\quad + N + \nu N(N-1) \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Then let us change variables to the center-of-mass coordinates (11)

$$\begin{aligned} h &= -N\partial_u^2 + 2u\partial_u - \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \partial_i^2 + \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \partial_i \right)^2 \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left[2y_i \partial_i - \nu \sum_{j \neq i}^N \frac{2}{x_i - x_j} \partial_i + \nu \sum_{j \neq i}^N \frac{1}{(x_i - x_j)^2} (1 - K_{ij}) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Extracting the center-of-mass motion (corresponding to the harmonic oscillator), we arrive at the final expression for the operator of relative motion

$$h_{cm} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \partial_i^2 + \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \partial_i \right)^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} y_i \partial_i$$

$$-2\nu \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left(\sum_{j \neq i}^N \frac{1}{x_i - x_j} \right) \partial_i + \nu \sum_{j \neq i}^N \frac{1}{(x_i - x_j)^2} (1 - K_{ij}) \quad (32)$$

Then a straightforward calculation leads to the conclusion that (32) can be written in terms of the generators (14)-(17)

$$h_{cm} = - \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} J_i^- J_i^- + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j,i}^{N-1} J_i^- J_j^- - 2J^0 + 2n \quad (33)$$

while the value of the parameter n plays no role – it just changes the reference point for the energy.

Now we reach the main conclusion of our paper:

The Hamiltonian of the Calogero model possesses infinitely-many, finite-dimensional invariant subspaces with bases in polynomials multiplied by Gaussian functions. Each subspace is a finite-dimensional representation of the algebra of the generators (14)-(17). This exposes a hidden algebraic structure of the Calogero model and explains the exact-solvability of the model.

In [9] it was reported a classification of linear differential operators having either single or infinite-many, finite-dimensional invariant subspaces in bases in polynomials, which were named by *quasi-exactly-solvable* and *exactly-solvable operators*, respectively. This classification was based on the fact, that some linear spaces of polynomials are nothing but the representation spaces of irreducible representation of algebras of the first-order differential operators. It is rather evident, that this classification can be extended for the case of the generators (14)-(17). Since the vector space $\mathcal{P}_n$ of inhomogeneous polynomials in y_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, (N-1)$ of degree not higher than n is the irreducible representation space for the algebra of generators (14)-(17), then any linear differential operator of the order less than $(n+1)$ acting in $\mathcal{P}_n$ can be presented as a polynomial in generators (14)-(17). Basically, this leads to a classification of quasi-exactly-solvable operators having $\mathcal{P}_n$ as an invariant subspace. Since the operators (14)-(17) are graded with respect to the action on monomials, one can describe the exactly-solvable operator as the operator having a representation as a polynomial in generators (14)-(17) while this polynomial has no monomials of positive grading.

Above, we showed that the Calogero model on the line (the rational case in a notation of [2]) is an exactly-solvable problem in a sense of a definition in [9] with a hidden algebra $gl(N, \mathbf{R})_K$: the r.h.s. in (33) has no monomials of positive grading. A natural question that arises concerns what about exactly-solvable Sutherland model on the line (the trigonometrical case in a notation of [2]). Is this model an exactly-solvable with some hidden algebra like all known exactly-solvable problems of quantum mechanics ? ⁴ If the answer is yes, does it have the same hidden algebra $gl(N, \mathbf{R})_K$ as the Calogero model?

It is a pleasure to thank D. Gurarie for helpful discussions and the Case Western Reserve University for kind hospitality extended to me.

⁴For a discussion of this topic see [7]

References

- [1] F. Calogero, *J. Math. Phys.*, **10** (1969) 2191, 2197
J. Math. Phys., **12** (1971) 419
- [2] M. A. Olshanetsky and A. M. Perelomov, *Phys. Rep.*, **94** (1983) 313.
- [3] A. P. Polychronakos, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **69** (1992) 703.
- [4] L. Brink, T. H. Hansson, and M. A. Vasiliev, *Phys. Lett.*, **B286** (1992) 109.
- [5] L. Brink, T. H. Hansson, S. Konstein and M. A. Vasiliev, *Nucl. Phys.*, **B401** (1993) 591.
- [6] E. P. Wigner, *Phys. Rev.*, **77** (1950) 711.
- [7] A. V. Turbiner, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **118** (1988) 467
- [8] O. A. Chalykh, A. P. Veselov, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **126** (1990) 611,
C. F. Dunkl, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **311** (1989) 167.
- [9] A. V. Turbiner, “Lie algebras and linear operators with invariant subspace”, Preprint IHES-92/95 (1992); to appear in “Lie Algebras, Cohomologies and New Findings in Quantum Mechanics”, *Contemporary Mathematics*, AMS, 1993, N. Kamran and P. Olver (eds.)